

Application: MILS 2026- Michigan Indian Legal Services

MILS Michigan Indian Legal Services
Legal Services Annual Grant

Summary

ID: LS2026-0000000175
Last submitted: Sep 23 2025 02:42 PM (EDT)

Grant Application Narrative

Completed - Sep 3 2025

Organization Information

Have you reviewed the Organization Information Task?

Yes

Have you updated any information in the Organization Information Task?

No

If you selected "Yes", please indicate below the sections in which you have made significant changes.

(No response)

Description of Services

1- Briefly list the purposes and use for your IOLTA grant and/or Filing Fees contract:

In the Legal Services Corporation Act, Congress ordered the Legal Services Corporation (LSC) to study whether there were populations that had special difficulties of access to legal services or special legal problems. In its report, LSC concluded that Native Americans had both 1) special difficulties of access to legal services and 2) special legal problems that were not being met. MILS's primary purpose is to address these concerns. IOLTA and Filing Fee funds are spent proportionally by the attorney hour spent on cases funded with these funding sources which are assigned by income limits.

First, MILS devotes the bulk of our resources to tribal court representation and providing services to individuals with tribal or federal Indian law related legal issues (e.g., Indian Child Welfare Act-Michigan Indian Family Preservation Act, on-reservation estate planning, tribal court child welfare, juvenile delinquency, eviction from tribal public housing, garnishment, and criminal defense cases). MILS attorneys specialize in the area of federal Indian law and tribal law, which are narrow fields with few Michigan practitioners. There are 12 federally recognized tribes in Michigan, all with their own separate sets of laws and judicial systems and we are admitted to practice in all 12 systems. In some of the areas where the tribal headquarters are located, the tribes are the largest employers and have substantial economic programs. As a result, we also provide services for those non-Natives who also have tribal legal issues (e.g., tribal wages being garnished through the tribal courts, custody disputes in the tribal courts).

Second, our priorities include representation in cases that have potential for generational impact, especially in areas where representation will help the American Indian population deal with its disproportionate poverty (e.g., driver's license restoration, expungement, school discipline cases).

Third, we provide general hotline services.

2- Describe how the funds leverage your ability to obtain specific funding from other sources.

MILS was able to leverage its existing funding, including IOLTA and Filing Fee funds to obtain funding for our LSC PBIF grant, which has a match requirement and does not cover indirect expenses. We are also subgrantees on an AmeriCorps medical-legal grant and EJW CLAP grant and will be using Filing Fee and IOLTA to cover some of the match requirements. We are also able to leverage its existing funding, including IOLTA and Filing Fee funds, to obtain federal funding which has match requirements (ANA grant) and funds that do not pay full indirect expenses (10% cap) (BJA, CSBG, and ANA).

3- Explain any plans or activities and a timeline for developing new or expanding current services.

We concluded our legal needs assessment in 2023 and have been developing project-based grant applications to meet the needs raised during the study period - especially around Murdered & Missing Indigenous Peoples(MMIP),Access to Healthcare, & Domestic Violence.

In 2023, we obtained an LSC PBIF grant to develop self-help and educational materials around ICWA-MIFPA issues. We are implementing a new DHHS ANA grant award for MMIP (services for families of the murdered &missing as well as prevention related services) and have applied through two other funding sources around this and human trafficking issues (ABE and EJW). We also started using our AmeriCorps subgrant to provide MDHHS navigator services to help individuals apply for public benefits (medicaid, food, rental, utility, & child care assistance, etc) through MiBridges. We also obtained an EJW CLAP subgrant to begin on-reservation crime victims' assistance(focus on domestic violence).

We recently received a two year MSBF AOJ grant (starting January 1, 2025) to identify and overcome obstacles for1) pro bono/low bono representation; and 2) community justice workers in the Little River Band court system.

4- Describe the unmet client and/or community needs addressed with help of this funding.

MILS periodically assesses community needs through a needs assessment process involving interviews, surveys and data collection. The information is compiled and summarized for the board and staff. After review the staff make a recommendation to the board. The recommendation is discussed in board committee meetings and then at the board level. The board uses the results of the needs assessment in setting priorities. MILS received a 2019 grant from the Administration for Native Americans, which covers, an intensive needs assessment. This was concluded in 2023 and our Board is working on updating our statement of priorities.

MILS devotes the bulk of our resources to tribal court representation and providing services to individuals with tribal or federal Indian law related legal issues (e.g., ICWA-MIFPA, on-reservation estate planning, tribal court child welfare, juvenile delinquency, eviction from tribal public housing, garnishment. and criminal defense cases). We are the only legal aid that provides services in majority of the tribal courts and the only one that specialized in federal Indian law.

Our priorities include representation in cases that have potential for generational impact, especially in areas where representation will help the American Indian population deal with its disproportionate poverty.

5- Indicate what region and target population you are proposing to serve with IOLTA and/or Filing Fees funds.

Target Population

Responses Selected:

Native Americans

Region

Responses Selected:

Statewide

The estimated number of people eligible for services in the geographic areas indicated above are as follows (based on the 2022 ACS 5-year estimate at 125% poverty level with a 2020 midpoint):

Eastern - 256,473 (15.3308%)

Metro - 682,661 (40.8063%)

Northern - 136,705 (8.1716%)

Southeast - 267,748 (16.0047%)

Western - 329,343 (19.6866%)

Statewide Programs: the estimated number of people eligible for your service area

84206***

Source of the estimate:

***We provide services to both native and non-native clients - so any low-income person could individuals be eligible. Our services are tailored to the Native American communities and our service area is the entire 96,720square miles of Michigan. Michigan is home for the Three Fires Confederacy, the Ojibwe, Odawa, and Bodewadomi Nations and there are 12 tribes with whom the federal government acknowledges a political relationship. There are several tribes in Michigan whose political status has been ignored by the federal government and many communities in the urban areas in the state.

ACS estimated that 27.6% of the individuals who identified as Native American in Michigan were living at orbelow125% of poverty as opposed to general state population rate of 16.9% (with 13.1% people live below the poverty line). The 2021 American Community Survey (ACS) estimates that there are 152,454 American Indians in Michigan (race alone and more than one race) out of a population of 10,050,811. The 2020 Census found 61,261 Native Americans (single race) in Michigan and an additional 243,833 who identified as mixed race Native American.

Description of Services (cont.)

6- Describe your program's recent community impact and accomplishments.

In 2023, we finalized our 2019 federal DHHS Administration for Native Americans grant, conducted a legal needs assessment, updated our priorities, and applied for grants to address critical legal needs like MMIP, Health Care, and domestic violence.

We obtained a new federal DHHS ANA grant to address MMIP in Michigan. Objective #1: Assist Native American families affected by MMIP to navigate the legal process and assert their rights. Objective #2: Enhance Native family well-being by reducing their vulnerability to MMIP through addressing homelessness.

Since October 2023, MILS has assisted 6 families affected by the loss of a loved one. The legal issues addressed include guardianship, technology-related issues, law enforcement hesitancy, survivor's benefits, and probate issues.

Since October 2023, MILS has helped 63 people become less vulnerable because of homelessness or insecure housing. The legal issues addressed include housing, expungements, and driver's licenses restoration cases.

On a recent call of all the National Association of Indian Legal Services programs, we learned that MILS is the only legal aid with funding and a project aimed at MMIP legal issues in the US.

The MILS staff discussed with the DNA (Navajo legal aid) team, noting both shared and unique challenges. A common issue is the inadequate law enforcement response. An example from late 2023 involved local law enforcement (Traverse City are) delaying any action on a missing person report, only acting on it after a month.

7- What are your program's goals in increasing private attorney involvement for the upcoming year.

We obtained a 2023 LSC PBIF grant (2 year). The Goal - T0 develop a system for using pro bono attorneys (experts in their fields) to develop self-help and educational materials that address the unique legal needs of the members of the native communities in Michigan (focus on ICWA) to bridge the justice gap for low-income Native Americans and facilitate replication of the project's effective aspects. Objective 1 - Develop the internal infrastructure to support the project, test practices through feedback, and develop a Toolkit for replication. Objective 2 - Secure pro bono assistance from experts in their fields to develop materials on 6 legal topics. Objective 3 - Produce and publish materials on 6 legal topics (focus on child welfare) & educate the client communities about the availability of materials. This project is on-going.

8- Describe any new recent innovations or collaboration in your program's service delivery area.

MILS now has on-line intake. It became available on our website at the end of July and can be, in the near future, added to Michiganlegalhelp as we are using the same platform. To-date, we have had 28 individuals complete intakes through the new on-line portal.

In August of 2023, MILS received a 2-year VOCA subgrant from EJW to serve on-reservation crime victims. We hired one attorney and a summer law clerk - with a focus on domestic violence. Starting in October of 2023, MILS began an MMIP project with funding through the federal DHHS ANA program. We are serving the families of the murdered and missing and handling prevention cases with an additional attorney and legal assistant.

9- Describe the measures with which you will evaluate your organization's progress in meeting the needs to be addressed.

MILS does not have large numbers of any particular case type for which it might make sense to use statistics to evaluate results. Also the nature of the child welfare cases make it difficult to evaluate MILS performance from the case outcome.

For MILS evaluation is an ongoing and qualitative process. Board members bring to board discussions of priority setting community perceptions of the results of MILS' work. Community concerns are gathered from community partners and tribal attorneys. MILS uses client satisfaction surveys. Although MILS tracks outcomes of our extended services cases in its case management system, Pika, MILS has not found the information that is tracked to be particularly helpful for analysis on impact.

Starting at the end of 2022, Prof Carlson obtained an ABF fellowship to work with us on updating our outcome/program evaluation metrics. This project is still on-going.

Administrative Capacity

1- If you have had a peer review or funder review in the past 3 years, list the major recommendations or suggestions from your recent peer review team and specify the actions your program has begun or completed in response to each. Include estimated time frames for any steps not yet under way or those which are in process.

MDHHS is wrapping up its second desk audit in 2 years (CSBG). There were no findings or recommendations from the June 2023 closing of the prior financial monitoring visit. For the most recent visit, we received 2 verbal recommendations related to our inventory list. First, we should make it clearer that we have no "equipment" that meets the federal definition. Second, we should create an easier to read list. We will be taking both of these recommendations. There were no written recommendations or findings and we should be receiving the closing letter within the next week.

In September of 2023, Montana Legal Services Association closed an on-site compliance review for our BJA subgrants. There were no recommendations or findings.

LSC OPP conducted a PQV visit in May of 2023 and the report was issued in January of 2024. A copy has already been provided to the Bar Foundation. We are working on updating our strategic plan especially around fund development. We already updated our Priorities. With the new Priorities, the ED has designed a series of process flow charts to guide the staff during the execution of the intake and acceptance procedures. The Board is looking at revising our Bylaws. The Board is working on an ED evaluation. The Board is working on developing training materials for new Board members, especially for the audit/finance committee. We are working on updating our succession plan. MILS staff are evaluating our on-Boarding procedures for any additions.

In May of 2023, LSC OCE successfully closed out its December of 2022 recommendations. Copies of all reports have previously been sent to the Bar Foundation.

In May and July 2024, we had two on-site visits from Equal Justice Works related to our VOCA subgrant (programmatic and financial). We have not received any follow up from them.

2- List training or professional development opportunities you anticipate providing to staff, including administrative staff, in the upcoming year.

As part of the LSC PBIF and TIG grants, our Pro Bono Staff will be attending the Equal Justice, TIG, and the NLADA conferences. Staff under the ANA grant will be attending the ANA national trainings. We anticipate sending our attorneys to the Roadshow, SCAO child welfare trainings, MSU ILPC, and ICLE related trainings. We also regularly attend training by the American Indian Law Section.

As appropriate, attorney and non-attorney staff will have opportunities to attend other relevant training. Recently, our administrative assistant took classes from Sage accounting and MIE on LSC funding and through Mineral on a hosts of HR related topics.

Administrative Capacity (cont.)

3a- Check boxes (1) through (4) below to indicate activities that are performed by your organization:

Responses Selected:

3 - Criminal cases

Indicate below the safeguards that are used to ensure that the IOLTA funds are not being used for specifically prohibited purposes. Specify record-keeping and accounting procedures used to verify that IOLTA funds are being spent only for eligible clients/cases.

MILS uses a computerized time accounting system (OCM/Pika) to track 100% of staff attorney time. All new cases are reviewed during our weekly case meeting, including appropriate funding codes. The time records are used in MILS accounting system to ensure that only appropriate costs are allocated to each funding source. Other funding sources, including tribal funds, will be used for some activities.

3b- Check boxes (1) through (7) below to indicate activities that are performed by your organization:

Responses Selected:

4 - Criminal cases

6 - Representing individuals whose income is greater than 125 percent of the federal poverty standard.

Indicate below the safeguards that are used to ensure that the Filing Fees funds were not being used for specifically prohibited purposes. Specify record-keeping and accounting procedures used to verify that Filing Fees funds are being spent only for eligible clients/cases.

MILS uses a computerized time accounting system (Pika) to track 100% of staff attorney time. All new cases are reviewed during our weekly case meeting, including appropriate funding codes. The time records are used in MILS accounting system to ensure that only appropriate costs are allocated to each funding source. Other funding sources, including tribal funds, will be used for some activities.

4- List training or professional development opportunities that accounting and financial staff has had in last 24 months.

Our new Financial Admin has undergone a lot of training through Sage, the accounting system we primarily use. It is a self-paced program.

5- Has your program experienced fraud, misappropriation of funds, embezzlement, or theft within the last twenty-four months?

No

6- Describe any changes made to your program's accounting manual or procedures in last 24 months.

In 2023, we updated our cost allocation policy at the suggestion of our CSBG grant to conform to current procedures. We made a few changes to the accounting manual at the recommendation of LSC OCE.

We also changed the threshold for capitalization from \$1000 to \$5000 in light of the feds changing the threshold from \$5000 to 10,000.

7- If there is additional information or explanation you would like to provide, please enter it below.

(No response)

Case Projections

Cases

Do you expect to meet your case projections for the current year. If you do not, explain why:

Yes

Do you expect PAI cases to meet your projections for the current year. If you do not, explain why:

Yes

Grant Application Year

Indicate the estimated number of cases in which clients will be provided with direct legal services during the grant application year, assuming the total you budgeted for is available. Please include cases closed by CALL for your service area in the figures below.

Indicate in the last column the number of total projected cases that will be provided legal services through CALL and the case will be closed by CALL.

Grant Application Year Staff Cases

Type of Legal Problem	Cases Closed after Limited Service	Cases Closed after Extended Service	Total Projected Case Services	Number of These Cases Completed on Hotline
Consumer/Finance	10		10	
Education	5		5	
Employment	5		5	
Family	45	5	50	
Juvenile	25	10	35	
Health	5		5	
Housing	75	25	100	
Income Maintenance	10		10	
Individual Rights	40	5	45	
Miscellaneous	100	35	135	
Total Cases	320.0	80.0	400.0	0

Grant Application Year PAI Cases

Type of Legal Problem	Cases Closed after Limited Service	Cases Closed after Extended Service	Total Projected Case Services
Consumer/Finance			
Education			
Employment			
Family			
Juvenile			
Health			
Housing			
Income Maintenance			
Individual Rights			
Miscellaneous			
Total PAI Cases	0	0	0

Pro Bono Attorneys

How many pro bono attorneys do you anticipate will assist in handling cases during the grant year?

20

Staffing

Completed - Sep 22 2025

Staffing

Has your program had any significant staff increases or reductions during the current calendar year?

No

Please explain any significant staff changes.

We have had turnover and have had a hard time finding appropriate replacements. That said, it is helping us to save more funds for next year.

Civil Legal Services Staffing

Indicate the total number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) paid and volunteer staff persons who were directly involved in the activities covered by the total expenditures.

Staff Function - Current Year

Type of Work Performed	Lawyers	Paralegals	Others	Total
Legal Case Work	4	0	1	5
Supervision of Legal Case Work	.5	0	0	.5
Secretarial Clerical Work	0	0	0	0
Management and Administration	1	0	1.25	2.25
PAI Coordination or Pro Bono Management	0	0	1	1
Other 1 (specify below)	.25	0	0	.25
Other 2 (specify below)	0	0	0	0
Total	5.75	0.0	3.25	9.0

Other 1	MSBF AOJ grant
Other 2	(No response)

Vacant Positions - Current Year

	Lawyers	Paralegals	Others
Vacant Positions included in budget			2

Staff Function - Grant Application Year

Type of Work Performed	Lawyers	Paralegals	Others	Total
Legal Case Work	4		3	7
Supervision of Legal Case Work	.5			.5
Secretarial Clerical Work				
Management and Administration	1		1.25	2.25
PAI Coordination or Pro Bono Management				
Other 1(specify below)				
Other 2 (specify below)				
Total	5.5	0	4.25	9.75

Other 1	(No response)
Other 2	(No response)

Vacant Positions - Grant Application Year

	Lawyers	Paralegals	Others
Vacant Positions included in budget			

Current Year Financials

Completed - Sep 23 2025

Current Year Financials

REVENUES, EXPENSES and FUND BALANCES

Provide a breakdown of projected **Revenues, Expenses and Fund Balances** for the **Current Calendar Year**. If your organization's fiscal year is different, please pro-rate expenditures to cover the current calendar year.

Enter whole numbers, without punctuation (e.g., 10000 not 10,000.00).

Starting Current Year Funding Balance (Carryover from prior year)

Please indicate below the fund balances your program will carry over from the prior year.

	Current Year
Beginning IOLTA Fund Balance	48341
Beginning Filing Fees Fund Balance	5796
Beginning Other Fund Balance	285000
Total Beginning Fund Balances	339137.0

Current Year Revenues

Source of Funding	Current Year
a- IOLTA - Civil Legal Services Grant	93768
b- Filing Fees (1) General Civil Legal Services Grant	0
b- Filing Fees (2) Statewide Grant	120780
c- Legal Services Corporation 1) Basic Field Grant	248191
c- Legal Services Corporation 2) Other Grants & Revenue	110870
d- Foundations (other than IOLTA or Filing Fee program funds)	100000
e- United Way	0
f- 1) State Grants	0
f- 2) Local Grants	0
f- 3) Community Development Block Grants	0
g- 1) Title III - Administration on Aging	0
g- 2) Title XX - Health & Human Services	0
g- 3) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)	0
g- 4) HUD Grants	0
g- 5) Other Federal Programs	332446
h- Faith-based funding	0
i- Law Schools	0
j- Attorney Fee Awards or Client Services Fees	0
k- 1) Access to Justice Fund	45000
k- 2) Other Private Bar Fundraising	0
k- 3) Cy pres Awards	0
k- 4) Other donations/Fundraising	3540
l- Income from publications, training, videotapes & other products	0

m- Miscellaneous	381500
Total	1436095.0

Changes in Non-IOLTA Funding

If your program lost or gained significant amounts of non-IOLTA/non-Filing Fees funding, please explain (list sources, amounts, and reason for change).

We lost two subgrants (EJW-VOCA and AmeriCorps!) because of Federal Executive action.

We received roughly \$240,000 in ERC funds.

Current Year Projections - Civil Legal Services

Personnel Costs

	Current Year Projections
1. Lawyers	432345
2. Paralegals	0
3. Others	280518
4. Employee Benefits	144000
Total Personnel Costs	856863.0

Current Year Projections - Civil Legal Services

Non-Personnel Costs

	Current Year
1. Space	43000
2. Equipment Rental	200
3. Supplies	21000
4. Telephone	10000
5. Travel	23000
6. Training	17000
7. Library	7000
8. Insurance	8000
9. Dues & Fees	8500
10. Audit	22000
11. Litigation	2000
12. *Property Acquisition	5000
13. Purchase Payments	0
14. *Contract Services to Clients	0
15. *Contract Services to Program	85000
16. *Other	0
Total NonPersonnel Costs	251700.0

Current Year Estimated Ending Fund Balance (which is also Grant Year Beginning Fund Balance)

Total Beginning Fund Balance	339137
Current Year Projected Revenues	1436095
Current Year Projected Expenses	1108563
Total Ending Fund Balance	666669.0

Breakout of Current Year Ending Fund Balance

The total below should equal the current year Ending Fund Balance of \$666669.0.

	Current Year
Ending Fund Balance in cash, investments & grants	6469777
Ending Fund Balance in property and fixed assets	0
Total	6469777.0

Donated Services and Property during Current Year

	Current Year
Services, including value of contributed attorney services	10000
Donated property, including space	0
Total	10000.0

Grant Year Financials

Completed - Sep 23 2025

Grant Year Financials

REVENUES, EXPENSES and FUND BALANCES

Provide a breakdown of your organization's total Revenue, Expenses and Fund Balance for the grant year. If your organization's fiscal year is different, please pro-rate expenditures to cover the grant year indicated.

Enter whole numbers, without punctuation (e.g., 10000 not 10,000.00).

Beginning Grant Year Fund Balance

The total below should equal the current year Ending Fund Balance of \$666669

	Grant Year
Ending Fund Balance in cash, investments & grants	646777
Ending Fund Balance in property and fixed assets	0
Total	646777.0

Grant Year Revenues

Source of Funding	Grant Year
a- IOLTA - General Civil Legal Services Grant	117210
b- Filing Fees (1) General Civil Legal Services Grant	0
b- Filing Fees (2) Statewide Grant	128700
c- Legal Services Corporation 1) Basic Field Grant	198553
c- Legal Services Corporation 2) Other Grants & Revenue	0
d- *Foundations (other than IOLTA or Filing Fee program funds)	5000
e- United Way	0
f- *1) State Grants	0
f- *2) Local Grants	0
f- *3) Community Development Block Grants	0
g- *1) Title III - Administration on Aging	0
g- *2) Title XX - Health & Human Services	0
g- *3) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)	0
g- *4) HUD Grants	0
g- *5) Other Federal Programs	198632

h- Faith-based funding	0
i- Law Schools	0
j- Attorney Fee Awards or Client Services Fees	0
k- *1) Access to Justice Fund	45000
k- *2) Other Private Bar Fundraising	0
k- *3) Cy pres Awards	0
k- *4) Other donations/Fundraising	11090
l- Income from publications, training, videotapes & other products	0
m- *Miscellaneous	124500
Total	828685.0

Provide a Breakdown of d - Foundations (list names, amounts and description)

Grand Traverse Regional Community Foundation. \$2500 DEI and \$2500 quick grant.

Provide a Breakdown of f - State and Local Grants (list names, amounts and description)

(No response)

Provide a Breakdown of g - Federal Grants (list names, amounts and description)

FY 2026 CSBG through state DHHS
 Roughly \$40,000 in DOJ BJA TCCLA funds through Montana Legal Services
 Around \$124,132 federal DHHS C&FS ANA grant for MMIP

Provide a Breakdown of k - Fundraising (explain any fundraising outside the ATJ Fund)

Network for Good, Facebook, etc

Provide a Breakdown of m - Miscellaneous (list names, amounts and description)

interest (\$2500) and tribal contracts (Pokagon, GL, NHBP, etc)

Changes in Non-IOLTA Funding

If your program anticipates losing or gaining significant amounts of non-IOLTA/non-Filing Fees funding, please explain (list sources, amounts, and reason for change).

Yes - we budgeted for a 25% decrease in LSC NA field funding and no new disaster, TIG, or PBIF funding. Also our EJW VOCA subgrant was terminated, as well our AmeriCorp medical-legal partnership.

We are hoping for other private foundation funding around community justice workers but it is premature to start budgeting for this yet.

Grant Year Budgeted Expenditures - Civil Legal Services

Personnel Cost

	IOLTA/Filing	Other	Total
1. Lawyers	108322	324967	433289
2. Paralegals	0	0	0
3. Others	5750	224250	230000
4. Employee Benefits	36350	109050	145400
Total-Personnel	150422.0	658267.0	808689.0

Grant Year Budgeted Expenditures - Civil Legal Services

Non-Personnel Costs

	IOLTA/Filing	Other	Total
1. Space	10750	32250	43000
2. Equipment Rental	50	150	200
3. Supplies	5250	15750	21000
4. Telephone	2750	8250	11000
5. Travel	5250	15750	21000
6. Training	4050	12150	16200
7. Library	1750	5250	7000
8. Insurance	2000	6000	8000
9. Dues & Fees	2125	6375	8500
10. Audit	5500	16500	22000
11. Litigation	500	1500	2000
12. *Property Acquisition	0	0	0
13. Purchase Payments	0	0	0
14. *Contract Services to Clients	0	0	0
15. *Contract Services to Program	6250	18750	25000
16. *Other	0	0	0
Total NonPersonnel Costs	46225.0	138675.0	184900.0

Property Acquisitions, including real property (line 12).

Provide below detail/amounts. Total items should equal totals above.

(No response)

Contract Services to Clients (line 14)

Provide below detail/amounts. Total items should equal total above.

(No response)

Contract Services to Program (line 15)

Provide below detail/amounts. Total items should equal total above.

Outside tech provider (currently \$870 a month), accounting software (sage and quickbooks), cost of pika (around \$800 a year), server that hosts pika, hosts that host mils website and sharepoint, and other similar expenses.

Other (line 16)

Provide a breakdown of (other) expenses showing detail/amounts. Total items should equal total above.

(No response)

Grant Year Estimated Ending Fund Balance

Total Beginning Fund Balance	646777
Grant Year Projected Revenues	828685
Grant Year Projected Expenses	993589
Total Ending Fund Balance for the Grant Year	481873.0

Projected Donated Services and Property during Grant Year

	Grant Year
Services, including value of contributed attorney services	15000

Donated property, including space	0
Total	15000.0

Does your program's board have a plan for increasing or decreasing your fund balance? Please explain below.

Ending Fund Balance as a Percentage of Revenue:

Grant Year: 58%

We are exploring grant applications from additional sources - federal and private foundations. The hope is to have additional revenue that will be equal to this year's PBIF and TIG grants.

Subgrants, Contracts or Agreements

Completed - Sep 3 2025

Subgrants, Contracts or Agreements

Please list any subgrants, contracts, or agreements that your program has with others or organizations who provide legal services to indigents permitted under the IOLTA grant or Filing Fees Contract. Please include the name, the duration of the contract and geographical area covered by this contract.

	Name	Duration of the Contract	Geographical Area
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			